Internal Audit Report 2017-325

Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department, Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program, Follow-up Audit

Report Date: January 3, 2017



Office of Paul Angulo, CPA, MA
Riverside County Auditor-Controller
4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor
Riverside, CA 92509
(951) 955-3800

www.auditorcontroller.org



OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

County Administrative Center 4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 1326 Riverside, CA 92502-1326 (951) 955-3800 Fax (951) 955-3802



Paul Angulo, CPA, MA Riverside County Auditor-Controller

Frankie Ezzat, MPA
Assistant Auditor-Controller

January 3, 2017

Juan C. Perez,
Director
Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency
4080 Lemon St., 14th Floor
Riverside, CA 92504

Subject: Internal Audit Report 2017-325: Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department, Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program, Follow-up Audit

Dear Mr. Perez:

We have completed a follow-up audit of Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department, Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program. Our audit was limited to reviewing actions taken as of December 13, 2016, to correct the findings noted in our original audit report 2014-020 dated August 5, 2014.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that our objective, as described in the preceding paragraph, is achieved. Additionally, the standards require that we conduct the audit to provide sufficient, reliable, and relevant evidence to achieve the audit objectives. We believe the audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The original audit report contained one recommendation, which required implementation to help correct the reported finding. Based on the results of our audit, we determined that the Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department has adequately implemented the recommendation.

Detailed status of the finding identified in the original audit is provided in the body of this report. For an in-depth understanding of the original audit, please refer to Internal Audit Report 2014-020 at www.auditorcontroller.org/Divisions/InternalAudit/InternalAuditReports.aspx.



Internal Audit Report 2017-325: Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department, Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program, Follow-up

We appreciate the cooperation and assistance extended to us by staff of the Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department. Their assistance contributed significantly to the successful completion of the audit.

Paul Angulo, CPA, MA Riverside County Auditor-Controller

By: René Casillas, CPA, CRMA Interim Chief Accountant

cc: Board of Supervisors Executive Office Grand Jury

Table of Contents

						Page
Results:						
Abandoned \	Vehicle Aba	tement	Program	 	 	4

Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program

Finding 1: Support for Expenditures: VC 9250.7(b); VC 9250.7(e)

Not all expenditures for the Abandoned Vehicle Abatement (AVA) program were adequately supported or approved. We tested thirteen expenditures from July 1, 2011, through June 30, 2013, and identified three (or 23%) that were without support and one (or 8%) that was not approved by the Riverside County Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Service Authority (Authority) members. Of the three unsupported expenditures two were unsupported cost estimates, and the third one could not be found due to its age. Riverside County Transportation and Land Management Agency, Code Enforcement Department (Code Enforcement) indicated that estimates were used because it was too time-consuming to track expenditures for the mailing of Notice Forms, etc. The lack of supporting documentation for member's expenditures associated with program administration and obtaining proper approvals for expenditures may result in the State Controller's Office suspending the Authority's authorization to collect the service fees.

Recommendation 1

Track actual program costs and ensure all administration fees are properly approved by members of the Authority.

Current Status: Implemented

Code Enforcement revised their procedures to track actual program costs and when expenditures require allocation, an allowable methodology based on actual code enforcement cases was developed to allocate expenditures. In addition the revised procedures ensure administration fees are approved by Authority members during the AVA Board of Directors meetings.